

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

20

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,818	05/24/2001	Yoshitaka Okahashi	70904-55910	2570
21874	11/16/2004	EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874			VUONG, JASO	ON DUY ANH
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/864,818	OKAHASHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason D. A. Vuong	2626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, be period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by treply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. The reply within the statutory minimum of thirty is reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT! The reply statute cause the application to become ARA.	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dienoeiti		aci Ex parte Quayle, 1555 C.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed.	idiawii iloiii consideration.				
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are objected to.		,			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05/24/2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	· :	·				
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/864,818

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "A Fast and Accurate Calibration Method for an Image Processing System Using Pseudo Reference Image Data".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. <u>Claim 1</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,959,669 to Haneda et al.

Regarding Claim 1, an image forming apparatus for forming an image in a plurality of image forming modes, comprising:

an image reading section for reading a document (see Figure 2);

Art Unit: 2626

an image forming section for forming an image based on image data of the document read {see Figures 1(a) and 1(b)}; and first setting means for setting respective input/output characteristic values corresponding to the plurality of image forming modes based on reference image data generated by reading a reference document having a tone pattern formed thereon (see Figure 15).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. <u>Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10</u> are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claim 11 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The feature that is cited in <u>Claim 2</u>, which contains a second image forming mode that uses the second input/output characteristic value, is not disclosed by the prior art of record. These limitations in combination with the other limitations in the claim are not anticipated or suggested by the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 2626

The feature that is cited in <u>Claim 11</u>, which creates pseudo reference image data from the reference image data using a fixed value which corresponds to a difference in the respective input/output characteristic values, is not disclosed by the prior art of record. These limitations in combination with the other limitations in the claim are not anticipated or suggested by the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jason Vuong at 703-306-4157.

MARY EXAMI